

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,860	10/31/2003	John C. Condon	CONDON-42615	9348	
26252	7590 08/09/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KELLY LOWRY & KELLEY, LLP 6320 CANOGA AVENUE			OKEZIE, E	OKEZIE, ESTHER O	
SUITE 1650	JAAVENOE	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WOODLANI	O HILLS, CA 91367		3654		

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
000 000		10/698,860	CONDON, JOHN C.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .			
		Esther O. Okezie	3654			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the may be patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tute. cause the application to become ABANDON!	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 May 2005</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allow					
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-9 and 12-29</u> is/are pending in the application.					
i.	4a) Of the above claim(s) 22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-21 and 23-29</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠						
7) 🖂						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>22</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Infor	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					
I C Datast and 1	Frademark Office					

Art Unit: 3654

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 5/13/2005 and the remarks presented therewith have been carefully considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Election/Restrictions

Claim 22 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on January 14, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1,4,5,8,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sherhandt.
- 2. Re claim 1 Sherhandt discloses an animal litter remover and bagger comprising: an outer tube (12) including an open end (14); an inner tube (66,68) slidably movable within the outer tube, and positioned within and coaxial with the

Art Unit: 3654

outer tube along a longitudinal axis; a gear assembly (fig 5) operationally connecting the inner tube and the outer tube; means for holding a closed end of a disposable bag within the outer tube (24), means for moving the apparatus between open and closed configurations (20), and a clamp assembly (28,30) for grasping the waste as the apparatus moves from the open configuration to the closed configuration, connected generally about a perimeter of the outer tube open end; wherein the clamp assembly brings the waste into the bag as the apparatus moves from the open configuration to the closed configuration (see fig 2).

- 3. Re claim 4, a spring (70) connecting the inner tube to the moving means.
- 4. Re claim 5, wherein the inner tube moves away from the open end of the outer tube, pulling the bag further within the outer tube as the apparatus moves from the open configuration to the closed configuration (see fig 2).
- 5. Re claim 8, wherein the clamp assembly includes at least two jaws (28,30), pivotally movable towards the open end of the outer tube as the apparatus moves from the open configuration to the closed configuration.
- Re claim 9, wherein the outer tube surrounds a portion of the jaws as the apparatus moves from the open configuration to the closed configuration (see fig. 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3654

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 1. Claim 1,3,4,5,8,9,12,13,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nadratowski US 4,078,838 in view of Marshall PG-Pub 2003/0160470 A1.
- Re claim 1, Nadratowski discloses a tubular implement for picking up dog feces in a sanitary manner comprising: an outer tube (14) including an open end; an inner tube (18) slidably movable within the outer tube, and positioned within and coaxial with the outer tube along a longitudinal axis; means for holding a closed end of a disposable bag within the outer tube (22), means for moving the apparatus between open and closed configurations (19), and a clamp assembly (23) for grasping the waste as the apparatus moves from the open configuration to the closed configuration, connected generally about a perimeter of the outer tube open end; wherein the clamp assembly brings the waste into the bag as the apparatus moves from the open configuration to the closed configuration (see fig 4).

Nadratowski does not disclose a gear assembly operationally connecting the inner tube and the outer tube means for moving the apparatus between open and closed configurations. Marshall discloses a toroidal shaped tubular gripping member with substantially the same configuration as Nadratowski including an outer tube (2) including an open end (12); an inner tube (76) slidably movable within the outer tube, and positioned within and coaxial with the outer tube along

Art Unit: 3654

a longitudinal axis, shown in figures 5,15A and 15B. Marshall shows a gear assembly (70) shown in figure 9 operationally connecting the inner tube and the outer tube means for moving the apparatus between open and closed configurations (fig 9), and a clamp assembly (10a,10b) for grasping the waste as the apparatus moves from the open configuration to the closed configuration, connected generally about a perimeter of the outer tube open end; wherein the clamp assembly brings the waste into the apparatus as the apparatus moves from the open configuration to the closed configuration (figs 3A-4G).

Marshall and Nadratowski work in substantially the same manner by using an outer tube actuated by an inner tube to grip and object an include a rod surrounded by a spring and a handgrip at the distal end for operation of the device (see Marshall fig 9, Nadratowski: fig 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nadratowski as taught by Marshall to include a gear assembly for operationally connecting the inner tube and the outer tube for moving the apparatus between open and closed configurations in order to more precisely grip small objects or objects that require a firmer hold (Marshall: page 3, paragraph 52).

- 2. Re claim 3, Nadratowski discloses the holding means (22) connected to the inner tube (18).
- 3. Re claim 4, Nadratowski discloses a spring (20) connecting the inner tube (18) to the moving means (19).
- 8. Re claim 5, Nadratowski discloses the inner tube moves away from the open end of the outer tube, pulling the bag further within the outer tube as the

Art Unit: 3654

apparatus moves from the open configuration to the closed configuration (see figures 3 and 4).

- 9. Re claim 8, Nadratowski discloses the clamp assembly includes at least two jaws (23,24), pivotally movable towards the open end of the outer tube as the apparatus moves from the open configuration to the closed configuration (figs 5 and 6).
- 10. Re claim 9, Nadratowski discloses the outer tube (14) surrounds a portion of the jaws as the apparatus moves from the open configuration to the closed configuration (see fig 4).
- 11. Re claim 12, Nadratowski discloses the outer tube is operationally connected to the clamp assembly whereby slidable movement of the outer tube along a longitudinal axis away from and towards the clamp assembly respectively opens and closes the clamp assembly (see figures 1-6).
- 12. Re claim 13, Nadratowski discloses the moving means includes a trigger assembly (19) operationally connected to the holding means (23) by rod (18).
- 13. Re claim 14, Nadratowski discloses the moving means includes a handle portion (16) operationally connected to the outer tube (14; see fig 1).
- 4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nadratowski in view of Marshall and Further in view of Hammer. The combination of Nadratowski and Marshall discloses the apparatus as described above but does not disclose at least two spur gears and the outer tube including a plurality of grooves. Nadratowski discloses a spur gear (pinion 92) in the handle portion

Art Unit: 3654

(70) including a first gear drive (86) and a second gear drive (88) wherein the spur gear pivots between the gear drives of the inner tube whereby the handle portion operationally connects the inner and outer tubes (fig. 9). Hammer discloses an extendable reaching tool including two spur gears (240, 242) and a first drive gear (224) on the outer tube (202) and a second gear drive (224) on the inner tube (204; see fig 11).

Both the devices of Nadratowski and Hammer work in substantially the same manner using a gear arrangement to slide interconnected tubes. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide two gears instead of one as taught by Hammer and gear drives provided on the outer and inner tubes respectively also taught by Hammer in order to modify the combination of Nadratowski and Marshall.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 16-21 and 23-29 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1,3-5,8,9,12-21,23-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as described above.

Art Unit: 3654

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Esther O. Okezie whose telephone number is (571) 272-8108. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine A. Matecki can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

E00

ĎEAN J. KRAMER